IOWANS FOR TAX RELIEF:

Page 4

2010 Questionnaire for State Legislative Candidates



PLEASE USE THIS BLANK PAGE TO ADD ANY COMMENTS





Iowans for Tax Relief 2010 Questionnaire: State Legislative Candidates

Iowans for Tax Relief is the 54,900-member non-partisan taxpayer organization that has been advocating for limited government and lower taxes for over 30 years.

Iowans for Tax Relief PAC is our non-partisan political action arm that supports the election of pro-taxpayer candidates to the Iowa Legislature.

This candidate survey has been designed to allow candidates for the Iowa Legislature to express their views on key issues important to members of Iowans for Tax Relief.

2010 Questionnaire for State Legislative Candidates

1. PEOPLE'S RIGHT TO VOTE AMENDMENT

Do you support or oppose the proposed People's Right to Vote Amendment? This is a proposed amendment to the Iowa Constitution to require that any increase of state taxes or fees (including state laws that have the effect of raising property taxes) that exceeds one percent of annual state general fund revenue, will not take effect unless it is approved by majority vote of the people.

ITR POSITION: Iowans for Tax Relief SUPPORTS passage of the People's Right to Vote Amendment and putting it on the ballot so the people of Iowa can vote on its ratification. We believe tax increases should be a last resort. A Constitutional requirement for voter approval of large tax increases will encourage the Legislature and Governor to find better ways than tax increases to balance the budget. Iowans should have the final say on large tax increases. Currently, one percent of the general fund is roughly \$53 million and this is the amount that will trigger a vote of the people.

☐ SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSE		Comments? See page 4
-----------	----------	--	----------------------

2. 99% SPENDING LIMIT AMENDMENT TO THE IOWA CONSTITUTION

Do you support or oppose the proposed 99% Spending Limit Amendment? This is a proposed amendment to the Iowa Constitution to limit state general fund spending to no more than 99% of estimated revenue, require a 60% vote of both houses of the Iowa General Assembly to spend any of the state's reserve fund, and require the state to follow generally accepted accounting principles.

ITR POSITION: Iowans for Tax Relief SUPPORTS passage of the 99% Spending Limit Amendment and putting it on the ballot so the people of Iowa can vote on its ratification. We believe the state should be Constitutionally required to spend slightly less than it receives in annual revenue. The current 99% spending limit law is not effective, because it is only a statute; it has been repeatedly bypassed by the Legislature and Governor. Only

☐ SUPPORT ☐ OPPOSE ☐ UNDECIDED	Comments? See page 4
a Constitutional requirement is strong enough to control spendic accounting.	0 1

3. FEDERAL DEDUCTION ON IOWA INCOME TAX RETURNS

Do you support or oppose allowing Iowans to fully deduct all federal income tax payments

of all Iowans to fully curns. We believe red to pay a state income x. Any attempt to attually lead to higher s deduction will be m Act.
Comments? See page 4.

IOWANS FOR TAX RELIEF:

Page 3

2010 Questionnaire for State Legislative Candidates

4. EVENTUAL ELIMINATION OF THE IOWA INCOME TAX

Do you support or oppose the eventual elimination of the Iowa state income tax?

ITR POSITION: Iowans for Tax Relief SUPPORTS the eventual elimination of the state corporate and personal income tax. We believe the state income tax is a substantial barrier to economic growth and job creation and its eventual elimination will significantly enhance

Iowa's ability to co The economic gro	ompete for new b wth resulting fro	ousiness investment a om gradual, but signifi	nd the new jobs that would result. cant, income tax reductions would lace some portion of lost revenue.			
☐ SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSE		Comments? See page 4.			
LIMIT GROW	TH OF PRO	PERTY TAXES				
Do you support or oppose limiting local property tax growth to no more than the rate of inflation plus the additional revenue derived from adding new construction to the property tax base?						
than the rate of inf to the property tax local governments	lation plus the a base. We believ to focus expend	dditional revenue der ve local property tax li litures on essential pur	ng property tax growth to no more ived from adding new construction mits are necessary to encourage cposes. Property tax increases are home ownership difficult for many			
☐ SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSE		Comments? See page 4.			
TAXPAYER T	RANSPARE	NCY WEBSITE				
Do you support or oppose the implementation of a website for taxpayers to track how their tax dollars are collected and spent.						
ITR POSITION: Iowans for Tax Relief SUPPORTS the implementation of a website for taxpayers to track how their tax dollars are collected and spent. Each year Iowans pay more than \$4,700 per person in state and local taxes. The implementation of an Iowa Transparency website would likely have a limited price tag as software is available at no extra cost from the federal government and some states have partnered with private businesses to develop state websites.						
☐ SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSE		Comments? See page 4.			
NDIDATE IN	IFORMATIC	ON				

CA

DATE:	SIG	SIGNATURE:			
PARTY:	PRI	NT NAME:			
☐ GOVFRNOR	☐ HOUSE	☐ SFNATE	DISTRICT:		